IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
MA No.533 of 1999
RAJENDRA PRASAD SINGH
Versus
MOST.CHANDRA LILA DEVI & ANR
---

9. 22.7.2008 There is no requirement for issuance of notice against respondent no.1 in view of the fact that respondent no.1 has already appeared on 16.5.2000.

It has been submitted that parties have entered into compromise and, as such, they have settled the dispute and the respondents have received their due amount and, so, they have lost their interest.

Learned counsel for the respondents appears.

It appears from Annexure-4 that a compromise petition duly signed by the parties, copy of certified copy of the same has been annexed herewith, was filed on 2.3.2007 and, accordingly, ordersheet was drawn on 11.7.2007 by S.D.M.-cum-certificate officer, Sadar Ara. Now, there is no requirement for further compliance so as to secure appearance of respondent no.1 also in view of the fact that learned counsel for the respondents is also present.

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted for passing an order for return of the amount of Rs.25,000/- deposited here. Prayer is allowed.

(Subash Chandra Jha,J.)

spal/

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL